

Creating connections between biotechnology and industrial sustainability

August 25 to 28, 2024 Costão do Santinho Resort, Florianópolis, SC, Brazil

ENVIRONMENTAL BIOTECHNOLOGY

ANALYSIS OF SURFACTIN FROM BACILLUS VELEZENSIS H2O-1 IN MOLASSES MEDIUM

Thiago S. de Oliveira¹, Eduardo R. P. Andrade¹, Matheus S. Correia¹, Ana B. S. Peixe¹ & Mateus G. de Godoy^{1*}

¹ Instituto de Microbiologia Paulo de Goés, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil. * Corresponding author's email address: godoy@micro.ufrj.br

ABSTRACT

Biosurfactants, natural compounds produced by microorganisms, lower surface tension between gases and liquids due to their amphiphilic nature, which includes both polar and nonpolar groups. Unlike synthetic petroleum-derived surfactants, biosurfactants offer ecological benefits such as low toxicity, high biodegradability, and the use of renewable resource. Surfactin, a prominent biosurfactant, demonstrates stability across varied physicochemical conditions, making it suitable for industrial and environmental applications. However, its widespread use is hindered by high production costs, prompting ongoing research into optimizing production processes and using cost-effective raw materials like industrial residues, to enhance sustainability in biotechnology. This study focused on surfactin production by *Bacillus velezensis* H2O-1 in a molasses medium, achieving surfactin concentrations of 220 mg/L in 72 hours. Surface tension measurements below 72 mN/m confirmed surfacting high efficiency. Freeze and lyophilization methods maintained surfactin's surface tension properties over time, suggesting viable preservation methods for industrial applications.

Keywords: Surfactin. Molasses. Biosurfactants. Bacillus velezensis H2O-1.

1 INTRODUCTION

Biosurfactants are secondary metabolites produced by microorganisms that exhibit surfactant properties, reducing interfacial and surface tension between gases and liquids due to their amphiphilic nature, including polar and nonpolar groups. Unlike synthetic petroleum-derived surfactants, biosurfactants offer ecological advantages such as low environmental toxicity, high biodegradability, and using renewable substrates for their production¹. There are different classes of biosurfactants distinguished by the hydrophilic group of the molecule, including glycolipids, phospholipids, fatty acids, polymeric surfactants, and lipopeptides, with the latter being one of the most extensively studied². Among lipopeptides, surfactin is well-characterized and increasingly recognized for its diverse biotechnological applications³.

Surfactin is an anionic lipopeptide composed of a heptapeptide ring and a fatty acid chain. Bacteria such as *Bacillus*, including species like *Bacillus subtilis*, *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*, and *Bacillus velezensis*, are prominent producers of these biosurfactants. Surfactin is considered one of the most potent biosurfactants, capable of reducing water surface tension from 72 mN/m to 27 mN/m⁴. It exhibits multiple active properties including emulsification, interfacial and surface tension reduction, antimicrobial, antibiofilm, antiadhesive, and anticorrosive activities. Due to these characteristics, surfactin is a significant focus of industrial research and patent applications. It remains stable under various physicochemical conditions such as pH, temperature, and salinity, maintaining its biocidal and anti-biofilm activities even under boiling and autoclaving conditions^{5:6}. In industrial settings, these molecules are potential substitutes for their chemical counterparts and find applications across environmental processes, cosmetics, food production, agriculture, pharmaceuticals, and primarily in petroleum industries⁶.

To control biocorrosion, the oil industry employs chemical biocides and synthetic surfactants to inhibit the adhesion and metabolic activities of microorganisms associated with corrosion, thereby preventing the growth of these species⁷. However, biocide use is associated with environmental toxicity and the potential development of resistance mechanisms⁸. Chemical surfactants, meanwhile, are largely non-biodegradable and harmful to the environment and certain organisms⁹. Thus, biosurfactants like surfactin offer environmentally safer alternatives, potentially reducing reliance on synthetic surfactants and chemical biocides⁶.

Certain strains that produce surfactin have been reported in the literature to have considerable potential as inhibitors of microorganisms that cause biocorrosion in production water and to prevent the formation of microbial biofilms. Previous studies demonstrated the positive antimicrobial activity of surfactin produced by *Bacillus velezensis* H2O-1 against sulfate-reducing bacteria¹⁰. Therefore, given the impact of sulfate-reducing bacteria on biocorrosion in the petroleum industry, surfactin from *B. velezensis* H2O-1 holds promise as an effective inhibitor of biocorrosive microorganisms in production water. Guimarães and colleagues (2019)⁵ evaluated biofilm formation and consequent biocorrosion on carbon steel coupons conditioned with surfactin produced by *B. velezensis* H2O-1, exposed to production water in a bioreactor. Conditioned coupons showed minimal adhered cells and debris on the surface compared to unconditioned coupons, which exhibited structures consistent with mature biofilms and areas of corrosion. Importantly, corrosion areas observed in conditioned coupons were significantly smaller than those in unconditioned ones⁵.

Despite their potential, biosurfactants are not widely used due to production costs. Current research focuses on optimizing production processes and utilizing cheaper raw materials such as industrial residues. The utilization of residual fractions of raw materials for synthesizing bioproducts like surfactin is crucial for reducing production costs and promoting sustainability in the biotechnological industry¹¹. Large-scale production of biosurfactants faces challenges primarily due to high cultivation costs¹². This study aims to address this limitation by exploring the substitution of glucose, a conventional carbon source in established culture media, with molasses. Molasses, a low-value industrial byproduct widely available in Brazil, was chosen for its abundant production¹³. Generally, biosurfactants like lipopeptides are produced as a mixture of homologs whose composition depends on

the substrate, strain, and cultivation conditions^{14; 15}. Therefore, biosurfactants with varying amino acid/homolog compositions can exhibit diverse physicochemical properties^{14; 16}. The objective is to evaluate the feasibility of this substitution strategy to reduce the production costs of surfactin. The surfactin used is produced by the *B. velezensis* H2O-1 strain, known for its excellent surfactin production capabilities^{5; 17}.

2 MATERIAL & METHODS

In the present study, the *B. velezensis* H2O-1 strain, kindly provided by the Molecular Genetics Laboratory - UFRJ, was used. As a comparison, the MM2 medium (%, w/v: glucose 1.0, NaCl 1.0, Na₂HPO₄ 0.5, KH₂PO₄ 0.2, MgSO₄ 0.02, (NH₄)₂SO₄ 0.2)⁵ was used as the standard medium for surfactin production. To evaluate the use of a carbon source derived from agro-industrial residues, molasses (2.0% w/v) (referred to as Molasses Medium) was added as a substitute for glucose. The cryopreserved bacterium was reactivated on a Petri dish containing Luria Bertani medium for 24 hours at 30°C. Subsequently, the strain was inoculated into the pre-inoculum medium (standard medium supplemented with 0.05% w/v yeast extract). The sample was incubated with shaking at 30°C and 170 rpm for 14 hours. Then, an equivalent volume of 20 mg/L of cells from the pre-inoculum medium for surfactin production. The sample was incubated with shaking at 30°C and 170 rpm for 14 hours. Then, an equivalent volume of 20 mg/L of cells from the pre-inoculum medium was added to the Molasses Medium for surfactin production. The sample was incubated with shaking at 30°C and 170 rpm for 24, 48 and 76 hours the duration required for each experiment. Following incubation, the *B. velezensis* H2O-1 culture was centrifuged to obtain the cell-free supernatant containing the surfactin produced. The obtained supernatant was autoclaved and stored under refrigeration until testing.

Surface tension reduction was measured using a goniometer, employing the pendant drop method. To determine the critical micelle concentration (CMC) of the surfactant present in the obtained supernatant, a series of serial dilutions (2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, 500, and 1000 times) were performed. The dilutions were evaluated using the goniometer to calculate the CMC value (mg/L). Additionally, to quantify the surfactin present in the supernatant, an acid precipitation and a liquid-liquid extraction were performed¹⁸. The extracted surfactin was then quantified by HPLC¹⁷. Finally, to assess the stability of the surfactin produced in Molasses Medium against conservation methods, the supernatant was frozen and lyophilized. Subsequent measurements of surface tension were taken periodically.

3 RESULTS & DISCUSSION

To prove that *B. velezensis* H2O-1 can produce biosurfactants in the molasses medium, a surface tension measurement was performed. The surface tension data obtained from the goniometer allow for a comparative evaluation of the surface tensions of the surfactin-containing supernatants obtained from the cultivation of *B. velezensis* H2O-1 in conventional and alternative medium at different periods. Surfactin was evaluated after 24, 48, and 72 hours of cultivation (Figure 1). In all assays, all samples showed surface tension values lower than ultrapure water (\cong 72 mN/m). In the case of the supernatant obtained in molasses medium, the lowest surface tension values were recorded at 24 and 72 hours, both averaging around 26.7 mN/m. In comparison with the conventional medium, the lowest surface tension values were observed at 72 hours, averaging 24.3 mN/m. The surface tension values between the biosurfactant produced in the standard medium and the molasses medium were similar, showing no significant difference.



Figure 1 - Surface tension values of surfactin produced by B. velezensis H2O-1 in molasses and standard media at different cultivation times.

Upon confirming the presence of biosurfactant in the molasses medium culture supernatant, lipopeptide extraction was performed. Subsequently, surfactin in the cell-free supernatant was identified and quantified using HPLC. Based on the chromatographic profile observed in the chromatogram resulting from the surfactin standard run, the presence of the surfactant was confirmed. *B. velezensis* H2O-1 produced 220 mg/L of surfactin in 72 hours of cultivation in the molasses medium. In comparison, the optimized standard medium supernatant contained 294.26 mg/L of the surfactant. Although the surfactin concentration in the molasses medium was approximately 33% lower than in the standard medium, the result was considered satisfactory. It is worth noting that the molasses medium is not optimized for surfactin production, and potentially, with an optimization process, this concentration could increase. This finding suggests that molasses is a low-cost carbon source that promotes the production of this bacterial surfactant. Furthermore, the results align with the surface tension values considered good in the literature, observed, for example, in other *Bacillus* species producing surfactin^{5; 10; 15}.

A key factor in determining the efficiency of a surfactant is the CMC. The lower the CMC value of a surfactant, the higher its efficiency, as a lower concentration of surfactant will be needed to reduce surface tension. Therefore, the CMC of the surfactin produced by *B. velezensis* H2O-1 in a molasses medium was evaluated. The supernatant from the molasses medium achieved a CMC of 5.11 mg/L. Comparatively, the CMC of the supernatant from the standard medium was 6.52 mg/L.

To evaluate the stability of surfactin, the supernatant obtained from the molasses medium was subjected to different preservation methods: freezing and lyophilization (Figure 2). The supernatant from the molasses medium was frozen, and the surface tension was measured periodically (between 0 and 134 days). During the evaluated period, no significant difference was observed between the initial value (day 0) and the other days (mean value: $27,02 \pm 1,1$ mN/m) (Figure 2. A). When the supernatant was lyophilized, no significant change in surface tension was observed after 7 days (28.4 ± 0.4 mN/m), compared to the value observed immediately after the lyophilization process (28.18 ± 0.7 mN/m) (Figure 2. B). It will be necessary to measure the surface tension after a longer period to see if the activity of surfactin is maintained. However, these preservation methods for surfactin

produced in a molasses medium appear promising. This preservation method could reduce the cost of storing the molecule for applications that do not require purified surfactin, such as the application of the surfactant for the removal of microbial biofilms in pipelines during the oil extraction process.





4 CONCLUSION

The use of alternative sources for surfactin production appears very promising, not hindering the synthesis of surfactin by *B. velezensis* H2O-1. Reducing the cost of the cultivation medium is crucial for achieving economically competitive values for largescale surfactin production. Another essential aspect of the project is the stability of the synthesized bioproduct. It was observed that freezing the surfactin maintains or slightly reduces its physicochemical properties. Further tests will be conducted to study the efficiency of surfactin in reducing tension after longer periods of freezing and lyophilization. Additionally, it will be necessary to explore potential optimizations in the alternative cultivation medium to improve surfactin production, as well as perform a cost analysis to evaluate the economic feasibility of this production. Finally, the anti-adhesive, biocidal, and anticorrosive action of surfactin produced in a molasses medium will be evaluated. For this, tests will be conducted in bioreactors using production water from oil wells.

REFERENCES

- ¹ Jimoh AA, Lin J. Biosurfactant: A new frontier for greener technology and environmental sustainability. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2019;184:109607.
- ² Banat IM, Franzetti A, Gandolfi I, Bestetti G, Martinotti MG, Fracchia L, et al. Microbial biosurfactants production, applications and future potential. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2010;87:427-44.
- ³ Geissler M, Heravi KM, Henkel M, Hausmann R. Lipopeptide biosurfactants from *Bacillus* species. In: Biobased surfactants. AOCS Press; 2019. p. 205-40.
- ⁴ Chen WC, Juang RS, Wei YH. Applications of a lipopeptide biosurfactant, surfactin, produced by microorganisms. Biochem Eng J. 2015;103:158-69.
- ⁵ Guimarães CR, Pasqualino IP, da Mota FF, de Godoy MG, Seldin L, de Castilho LVA, et al. Surfactin from *Bacillus velezensis* H2O-1: Production and physicochemical characterization for postsalt applications. J Surfactants Deterg. 2019;22:451-62.
- ⁶ Singh AK, Sharma P. Disinfectant-like activity of lipopeptide biosurfactant produced by *Bacillus tequilensis* strain SDS21. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces. 2020;185:110514.
- ⁷ El-Monem MA, Shaban MM, Migahed MA, Khalil MMH. Synthesis, characterization, and computational chemical study of aliphatic tricationic surfactants as corrosion inhibitors for metallic equipment in oil fields. ACS Omega. 2020;5:26626-39.
- ⁸ Jurelevicius D, von der Weid I, Korenblum E, Valoni E, Penna M, Seldin L. Effect of nitrate injection on the bacterial community in a wateroil tank system analyzed by PCR-DGGE. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol. 2008;35:251-5.
- ⁹ Fernandes NAT, Simões LA, Dias DR. Comparison of biodegradability, and toxicity effect of biosurfactants with synthetic surfactants. In: Advancements in biosurfactants research. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2023. p. 117-36.
- ¹⁰ Korenblum E, de Araujo LV, Guimarães CR, De Souza LM, Sassaki G, Abreu F, et al. Purification and characterization of a surfactin-like molecule produced by Bacillus sp. H2O-1 and its antagonistic effect against sulfate reducing bacteria. BMC Microbiol. 2012;12:1-13.
- ¹¹ Farias CBB, Almeida FC, Silva IA, Souza TC, Meira HM, Soares da Silva RCF, et al. Production of green surfactants: Market prospects. Electron J Biotechnol. 2021;51:28-39.
- Sarubbo LA, Maria da Gloria CS, Durval IJB, Bezerra KGO, Ribeiro BG, Silva IA, et al. Biosurfactants: Production, properties, applications, trends, and general perspectives. Biochem Eng J. 2022;181:108377.
- ¹³ El Asri O, Farag MA. The potential of molasses from different dietary sources in industrial applications: A source of functional compounds and health attributes, a comprehensive review. Food Biosci. 2023;56:103263.
- ¹⁴ Liu Q, Lin J, Wang W, Huang H, Li S. Production of surfactin isoforms by *Bacillus subtilis* BS-37 and its applicability to enhanced oil recovery under laboratory conditions. Biochem Eng J. 2015;93:31-7.
- ¹⁵ Oliveira TS, de Oliveira BFR, de Andrade FCC, Guimarães CR, de Godoy MG, Laport MS. Homoscleromorpha-derived *Bacillus* spp. as potential sources of biotechnologically-relevant hydrolases and biosurfactants. World J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2022;38(10):169.
- ¹⁶ Jahan R, Bodratti AM, Tsianou M, Alexandridis P. Biosurfactants, natural alternatives to synthetic surfactants: Physicochemical properties and applications. Adv Colloid Interface Sci. 2020;275:102061.
- ¹⁷ Guimarães CR, Pasqualino IP, de Sousa JS, Nogueira FCS, Seldin L, de Castilho LVA, et al. *Bacillus velezensis* H2O-1 surfactin efficiently maintains its interfacial properties in extreme conditions found in post-salt and pre-salt oil reservoirs. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces. 2021;208:112072.
- ¹⁸ Verma R, Sharma S, Kundu LM, Pandey LM. Experimental investigation of molasses as a sole nutrient for the production of an alternative metabolite biosurfactant. J Water Process Eng. 2020;38:101632.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To CNPq for the scholarship and funding the project.